

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

JAN 25 2012

John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk
BY Shaunya Wesley, Deputy

1 Charles L. Menzies, State Bar No. 198223
2 THE MENZIES COMPANY INC.
3 914 East Civic Center Drive
4 Santa Ana, California 92701
5 P (310) 800-0040; F (310) 362-8799
6 E-Mail: ctm@menziescompany.com

7 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
8 PRECISION AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.
9 and U.S. AEROSPACE, INC.

D51 Abraham Khan

*6013
90067*

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

CENTRAL DISTRICT

12 PRECISION AEROSTRUCTURES, INC., a
13 California corporation; and U.S.
14 AEROSPACE, INC., a Delaware corporation,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 RANDALL D. HUMPHREYS; JAMES
18 WORSHAM; DOUGLAS A. RAPPAPORT;
19 WILSON M. MEEKS III; DAVID C. ALLEN;
20 AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD
21 LLP, a limited liability partnership;
22 MICHAEL C. CABRAL, a/k/a MIGUEL
23 CABRAL; ROSA RIOS; DOES 1 through
24 100, inclusive,

25 Defendants.

Case No.

BC 477764

**PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR
COMPENSATORY AND PUNITIVE
DAMAGES FOR BREACH OF
FIDUCIARY DUTY AND FRAUD**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

BY FAX

26 Plaintiffs Precision Aerostructures, Inc. and U.S. Aerospace, Inc. for their complaint
27 against defendants, and each of them, allege as follows:
28

CIT/CASE: RC177764 LEA/DEF#:
RECEIPT #: CCH465980102
DATE PAID: 01/25/12 03:38:56 PM
PAYMENT: \$95.00 0310
RECEIVED:
CHECK#: 395.00
CASH:
CHARGE:
CARD#:

1 GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

2 (Common to All Causes of Action)

3 1. Plaintiff Precision Aerostructures, Inc. ("Precision") is a California corporation,
4 doing business in Los Angeles, California.

5 2. Plaintiff U.S. Aerospace, Inc. ("USA") is a Delaware corporation, with its principal
6 place of business in Los Angeles, California. U.S. Aerospace, Inc. was previously known as New
7 Century Companies, Inc.

8 3. Defendant Randall D. Humphreys is an individual who did business in Los
9 Angeles, California. Defendant Humphreys served as a member of the Board of Directors of
10 USA, and as such owed fiduciary duties to the corporation and its stockholders, including without
11 limitation the duty of care, the duty of loyalty, and the duty to avoid conflicts of interest.

12 4. Defendant James Worsham is an individual who resides and did business in Los
13 Angeles, California. Defendant Worsham served as a member of the Board of Directors of USA,
14 and as the Chief Executive Officer of USA, and as such owed fiduciary duties to the corporation
15 and its stockholders, including without limitation the duty of care, the duty of loyalty, and the duty
16 to avoid conflicts of interest.

17 5. Defendant Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP ("Akin") is a limited liability
18 partnership, with an office and doing business in Los Angeles, California. Defendant Akin is a
19 law firm, practicing law in the State of California.

20 6. Defendant Douglas A. Rappaport is an individual. Rappaport is not licensed to
21 practice law in the State of California, but did business and purported to act as an attorney in Los
22 Angeles, California. Defendant Rappaport is a partner of defendant Akin, and acted in such
23 capacity.

24 7. Defendant Wilson M. Meeks III is an individual. Meeks is not licensed to practice
25 law in the State of California, but did business and purported to act as an attorney in Los Angeles,
26 California. Defendant Meeks is an associate attorney with defendant Akin, and acted in such
27 capacity.

28

1 8. Defendant David C. Allen is an individual, residing and doing business in Los
2 Angeles, California. Defendant Allen is a licensed California attorney, and was the Managing
3 Partner of the Los Angeles office of defendant Akin, and acted in such capacity.

4 9. Defendant Michael C. Cabral, also known as Miguel Cabral, is an individual who
5 resides and did business in Los Angeles, California. Defendant Cabral served as a member of the
6 Board of Directors of Precision and USA, and as the President of Precision and USA, and as such
7 owed fiduciary duties to the corporations and their stockholders, including without limitation the
8 duty of care, the duty of loyalty, and the duty to avoid conflicts of interest.

9 10. Defendant Rosa Rios is an individual who resides and did business in Los Angeles,
10 California. Defendant Rios served as a member of the Board of Directors of Precision, and as the
11 Controller and Principal Financial Officer of Precision and USA, and as such owed fiduciary
12 duties to the corporations and their stockholders, including without limitation the duty of care, the
13 duty of loyalty, and the duty to avoid conflicts of interest.

14 11. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, partnership, associate
15 or otherwise, of the defendants named herein as DOE 1 through DOE 100, inclusive, are unknown
16 to plaintiff who therefore sues said defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs will seek leave
17 to amend this complaint to show their true names and capacities when the same have been
18 ascertained. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that each of the defendants
19 designated herein as a DOE is responsible in some manner for the happenings and events
20 hereinafter alleged and intentionally or otherwise caused the losses and damages as herein alleged.
21 Plaintiffs are informed and believes and on such information and belief alleges that at all times
22 herein mentioned, defendants, and each of them, were the agents, servants, partners, associates,
23 representatives and employees of the other defendants, and each of them, and in doing the things
24 herein alleged, were acting within the course and scope of such agency, partnership and
25 employment.

26
27
28

01/25/12

1 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

2 **(Breach of Fiduciary Duty)**

3 **(By Plaintiff USA Against All Defendants)**

4 12. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs of this complaint as if
5 the same were fully set forth herein.

6 13. In violation of their fiduciary duties to plaintiff USA and its stockholders,
7 defendants conspired and acted together, in order to take over the corporation for their own
8 personal benefit. In furtherance of their illicit scheme, defendants worked together in a concerted
9 effort to undermine the authority and effectiveness of the Board of Directors, its committees, and
10 their designated agents.

11 14. Like Benedict Arnold and Joseph Stansbury, plotting together to systematically
12 weaken the defenses of West Point in order to hand it over to the British, Humphreys and
13 Worsham plotted together to weaken USA's corporate defenses in order to hand it over to their
14 cohorts. None of the defendants breathed a whisper of their unlawful plan to the full Board of
15 Directors.

16 15. By way of example only, defendant Humphreys paid himself exorbitant fees and
17 expenses, that were never reviewed or approved by the Board or its independent compensation
18 committee, in violation of both his ethical obligations and applicable law. He illegally reported
19 financials for the corporation listing a bogus \$11.5 million impairment charge, without review or
20 approval by the Board or its independent audit committee. He sought to have the Board of
21 Directors violate the rights of the corporation's stockholders by illegally cancelling their stock.

22 16. Defendant Worsham similarly engaged in personal financial transactions with the
23 corporation that were neither reviewed nor approved by the Board or its independent compliance
24 committee. He conspired with company creditors, to try to hand over a controlling interest in the
25 corporation in exchange for nothing but a small short-term loan, the only purpose of which would
26 be to put money in Humphreys and Worsham's pockets and serve as a pretext for fraudulently
27 transferring the value of the corporation to third parties conspiring with them.

28

1 17. Defendants functioned together as a Trojan horse, secretly making their way into
2 the inner sanctum of the corporation, then using their positions of trust and confidence to weaken
3 the corporation and its defenses from the inside, and assist the onslaught of the barbarians at the
4 gate.

5 18. The effect of defendants' unlawful and unethical actions was to damage and
6 destroy the corporation's business, operations and prospects for doing business.

7 19. Defendants acted with malice, oppression and fraud, justifying an award of
8 damages to punish defendants.

9 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

10 **(Legal Malpractice)**

11 **(By Plaintiff USA Against Defendants Rappaport,**
12 **Meeks, Allen, Akin and DOES 1 through 50)**

13 20. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs of this complaint as if
14 the same were fully set forth herein.

15 21. On or about January 10, 2011, defendants Rappaport, Meeks, Allen and Akin were
16 engaged as USA's attorneys, to represent USA and its interests. As attorneys for USA, the Akin
17 defendants owed the corporation a fiduciary duty, not of honesty alone, but the punctilio of an
18 honor the most sensitive.

19 22. Unbeknownst to USA or its Board of Directors, the Akin defendants secretly
20 represented third party creditors of USA and Precision. The Akin defendants failed to disclose
21 this direct conflict of interest to the Board of Directors, in flagrant violation of the rules of ethics.

22 23. The Akin defendants accepted the representation of USA as a Trojan horse, in
23 order to damage and destroy the corporation from the inside, for the benefit of the undisclosed
24 clients for whose benefit they were really acting.

25 24. On or about January 26, 2011, defendants concocted a letter from the Akin
26 defendants to defendant Worsham. The letter purported to be a detailed and thorough factual
27

01/25/11

1 investigation, including diligent legal research and well thought out conclusions regarding various
2 corporate transactions and the activities of the corporation and its advisors.

3 25. The letter traded on the reputation of defendant Akin as a reputable national law
4 firm, in order to bolster the credibility to the extraordinary—and patently false—accusations made
5 therein.

6 26. The letter asserted manufactured claims against corporate insiders, maliciously
7 claiming that they had lied, committed crimes, concealed conflicts of interest, and violated their
8 fiduciary duties. None of these things were remotely true.

9 27. In truth and in fact, the Akin defendants failed to review, and did not even request,
10 all of the applicable documentation. For example, defendants never asked to see engagement
11 letters or conflict waivers. Moreover, much of the documentation defendants are known to have
12 seen contradicted many of the statements made in their letter.

13 28. Other than Humphreys, who they knew to be fundamentally dishonest and
14 hopelessly conflicted, the Akin defendants failed to speak with a single officer, director, attorney,
15 employee or representative of the corporation before reaching their purported conclusions.

16 29. The applicable standard of care mandates a thorough factual investigation, review
17 of all relevant documentation, and interviews with all relevant persons potentially having
18 knowledge of the situation. The Akin defendants utterly failed to even come close to meeting
19 their obligations.

20 30. Defendants' purpose in writing the letter was to disrupt the corporation's
21 relationships with its most trusted advisors, and to blackmail USA, its Board of Directors and
22 other representatives into violating their own fiduciary duties to the corporation and its
23 stockholders, and acceding to the illegal demands of defendants' secret clients.

24 31. The Akin defendants then exacerbated the harm to their client, by refusing to turn
25 over the client's complete files, thereby hampering and preventing USA from investigating and
26 discovering the true nature and extent of what was done.

27
28

1 32. Defendants' wrongful conduct was a substantial factor in causing actual damages to
2 the corporation, including the loss of valuable advisors, and expenses that USA had to pay as a
3 result of defendants' misconduct, including, but not limited to, legal fees.

4 33. Defendants acted with malice, oppression and fraud, justifying an award of
5 damages to punish defendants.

6 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

7 **(Breach of Fiduciary Duty)**

8 **(By Plaintiff Precision Against Defendants Humphreys,**
9 **Worsham, Cabral, Rios and DOES 25 through 75)**

10 34. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs of this complaint as if
11 the same were fully set forth herein.

12 35. In violation of their fiduciary duties to plaintiff Precision and its stockholder USA,
13 defendants conspired and acted together, in order to bleed the corporation dry for their own
14 personal benefit.

15 36. By way of example only, Rios—the unwed mother of Cabral's bastard son—used
16 her position as corporate Controller to steal hundreds of thousands of dollars in checks payable to
17 the corporation, and funnel the money to Cabral's relatives.

18 37. Cabral likewise used the corporation as his personal piggybank, using corporate
19 assets to pay for two luxury cars and a boat, as well as tens of thousands of dollars spent for
20 "exotic dancers" at "gentlemen's clubs" and bars. In addition to Rios, Cabral's brothers, cousins,
21 childhood friends, and even ex-wife were all on the corporate payroll at absurd salaries.

22 38. At the same time, Cabral and Rios failed to pay the corporation's legitimate
23 creditors, including failing to properly withhold from employment tax, though they had been
24 expressly and repeatedly instructed to do so. Humphreys, Rios and Worsham all allowed and
25 actively concealed Cabral's misconduct, in order to enable their own.

26 39. Defendants acted with malice, oppression and fraud, justifying an award of
27 damages to punish defendants.

28

1 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

2 **(Fraud and Deceit)**

3 **(By Plaintiffs Against All Defendants)**

4 40. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs of this complaint as if
5 the same were fully set forth herein.

6 41. Defendants, and each of them, affirmatively lied to and actively misled Plaintiffs,
7 by a series of willfully false statements and active concealment of the truth. Plaintiffs reasonably
8 relied on defendants and were unaware of the truth.

9 42. The effect of defendants' lies was to damage and destroy the corporation's
10 business, operations and prospects for doing business.

11 43. Defendants acted with malice, oppression and fraud, justifying an award of
12 damages to punish defendants.

13 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**


14 **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- 15 1. For special damages in excess of the jurisdictional minimum, in an amount to be
16 determined by the jury according to proof at trial;
- 17 2. For general compensatory damages in excess of the jurisdictional minimum, in an
18 amount to be determined by the jury according to proof at trial;
- 19 3. For punitive and exemplary damages, in an amount to be determined by the jury
20 according to proof at trial;
- 21 4. For attorneys' fees, costs and expenses;
- 22 5. For costs of suit; and
- 23 6. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DATED: January 25, 2012

THE MENZIES COMPANY INC.

By 

Charles Menzies
Attorneys' for Plaintiffs
PRECISION AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.
and U.S. AEROSPACE, INC.

PT/55/19

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs Precision Aerostructures, Inc. and U.S. Aerospace, Inc. hereby demand a trial by jury for all claims against all defendants in the foregoing action.

DATED: January 25, 2012

THE MENZIES COMPANY INC.

By



Charles Menzies

Attorneys' for Plaintiffs
PRECISION AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.
and U.S. AEROSPACE, INC.

01/25/12

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):
Charles Menzies, Esq. SBN 198223
914 East Civic Center Drive
Santa Ana, California 92701
TELEPHONE NO.: (310) 800-0040 FAX NO.: (310) 362-8799
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiffs

FOR COURT USE ONLY
FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
JAN 25 2012
John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk
BY Shaunya Wesley, Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
STREET ADDRESS:
MAILING ADDRESS: 111 N. Hill St.
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90012
BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Courthouse

CASE NAME:
PRECISION AEROSTRUCTURES, INC. et al. v. HUMPHREYS et al.

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET
Unlimited (Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000)
Limited (Amount demanded is \$25,000 or less)
Complex Case Designation
Counter Joinder
Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)

CASE NUMBER: BC 477764
JUDGE:
DEPT:

Items 1-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2).

- 1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case:
Auto Tort
Contract
Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort
Real Property
Enforcement of Judgment
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort
Unlawful Detainer
Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Employment
Judicial Review
Miscellaneous Civil Petition

- 2. This case is or is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:
a. Large number of separately represented parties
b. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve
c. Substantial amount of documentary evidence
d. Large number of witnesses
e. Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court
f. Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. monetary b. nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 2
5. This case is or is not a class action suit.
6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015-)

Date: January 25, 2012
Charles L. Menzies, Esq.

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)

NOTICE
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions.
File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.
If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.
Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.

BY FAX

| | |
|---|-------------|
| SHORT TITLE: PRECISION AEROSTRUCTURES, INC. et al. v. HUMPHREYS et | CASE NUMBER |
|---|-------------|

**CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND
STATEMENT OF LOCATION
(CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)**

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.

Item I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case:

JURY TRIAL? YES CLASS ACTION? YES LIMITED CASE? YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL ³ HOURS/ DAYS

Item II. Indicate the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps – If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4):

Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet form, find the main Civil Case Cover Sheet heading for your case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column **A**, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.

Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column **B** below which best describes the nature of this case.

Step 3: In Column **C**, circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have checked. For any exception to the court location, see Local Rule 2.0.

Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, central district. | 6. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle. |
| 2. May be filed in central (other county, or no bodily injury/property damage). | 7. Location where petitioner resides. |
| 3. Location where cause of action arose. | 8. Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly. |
| 4. Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred. | 9. Location where one or more of the parties reside. |
| 5. Location where performance required or defendant resides. | 10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office. |

BY FAX

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

| | A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No. | B Type of Action (Check only one) | C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above |
|---|---|---|--|
| Auto Tort | Auto (22) | <input type="checkbox"/> A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death | 1., 2., 4. |
| | Uninsured Motorist (46) | <input type="checkbox"/> A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist | 1., 2., 4. |
| Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death Tort | Asbestos (04) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6070 Asbestos Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death | 2. 2. |
| | Product Liability (24) | <input type="checkbox"/> A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) | 1., 2., 3., 4., 8. |
| | Medical Malpractice (45) | <input type="checkbox"/> A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons <input type="checkbox"/> A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice | 1., 4. 1., 4. |
| | Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23) | <input type="checkbox"/> A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) | 1., 4. |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) | 1., 4. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress | | 1., 3. | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death | 1., 4. | |

01/25/12

SHORT TITLE:

PRECISION AEROSTRUCTURES, INC. et al. v. HUMPHREYS et

CASE NUMBER

| | A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No. | B Type of Action (Check only one) | C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above |
|---|--|---|--|
| Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort | Business Tort (07) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract) | 1., 3. |
| | Civil Rights (08) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination | 1., 2., 3. |
| | Defamation (13) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6010 Defamation (slander/libel) | 1., 2., 3. |
| | Fraud (16) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A6013 Fraud (no contract) | 1., 2., 3. |
| | Professional Negligence (25) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A6017 Legal Malpractice | 1., 2., 3. |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal) | 1., 2., 3. |
| Other (35) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort | 2., 3. | |
| Employment | Wrongful Termination (36) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6037 Wrongful Termination | 1., 2., 3. |
| | Other Employment (15) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case | 1., 2., 3. |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals | 10. |
| Contract | Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) | 2., 5. |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) | 2., 5. |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) | 1., 2., 5. |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) | 1., 2., 5. |
| | Collections (09) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff | 2., 5., 6. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case | | 2., 5. | |
| Insurance Coverage (18) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex) | 1., 2., 5., 8. | |
| Other Contract (37) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6009 Contractual Fraud | 1., 2., 3., 5. | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> A6031 Tortious Interference | 1., 2., 3., 5. | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence) | 1., 2., 3., 8. | |
| Real Property | Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14) | <input type="checkbox"/> A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels _____ | 2. |
| | Wrongful Eviction (33) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case | 2., 6. |
| | Other Real Property (26) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure | 2., 6. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> A6032 Quiet Title | | 2., 6. | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure) | | 2., 6. | |
| Unlawful Detainer | Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction) | 2., 6. |
| | Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction) | 2., 6. |
| | Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6020F Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure | 2., 6. |
| | Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs | 2., 6. |

SHORT TITLE:

PRECISION AEROSTRUCTURES, INC. et al. v. HUMPHREYS et

CASE NUMBER

| | A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No. | B Type of Action (Check only one) | C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| Judicial Review | Asset Forfeiture (05) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case | 2., 6. | | |
| | Petition re Arbitration (11) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration | 2., 5. | | |
| | Writ of Mandate (02) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus <input type="checkbox"/> A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter <input type="checkbox"/> A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review | 2., 8. 2. 2. | | |
| | Other Judicial Review (39) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review | 2., 8. | | |
| Provisionally Complex Litigation | Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation | 1., 2., 8. | | |
| | Construction Defect (10) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6007 Construction Defect | 1., 2., 3. | | |
| | Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort | 1., 2., 8. | | |
| | Securities Litigation (28) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6035 Securities Litigation Case | 1., 2., 8. | | |
| | Toxic Tort Environmental (30) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental | 1., 2., 3., 8. | | |
| | Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only) | 1., 2., 5., 8. | | |
| Enforcement of Judgment | Enforcement of Judgment (20) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6141 Sister State Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> A6160 Abstract of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) <input type="checkbox"/> A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) <input type="checkbox"/> A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax <input type="checkbox"/> A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case | 2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8., 9. | | |
| | RICO (27) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case | 1., 2., 8. | | |
| | Miscellaneous Civil Complaints | Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6030 Declaratory Relief Only <input type="checkbox"/> A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) <input type="checkbox"/> A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) <input type="checkbox"/> A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex) | 1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. | |
| | | Partnership Corporation Governance (21) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case | 2., 8. | |
| | | Miscellaneous Civil Petitions | Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43) | <input type="checkbox"/> A6121 Civil Harassment <input type="checkbox"/> A6123 Workplace Harassment <input type="checkbox"/> A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case <input type="checkbox"/> A6190 Election Contest <input type="checkbox"/> A6110 Petition for Change of Name <input type="checkbox"/> A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law <input type="checkbox"/> A6100 Other Civil Petition | 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8. 2., 9. |


| | |
|--|-------------|
| SHORT TITLE: PRECISION AEROSTRUCTURES, INC. et al. v. HUMPHREYS | CASE NUMBER |
|--|-------------|

Item III. Statement of Location: Enter the address of the accident, party's residence or place of business, performance, or other circumstance indicated in Item II., **Step 3** on Page 1, as the proper reason for filing in the court location you selected.

| | | | |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| REASON: Check the appropriate boxes for the numbers shown under Column C for the type of action that you have selected for this case. <input type="checkbox"/> 1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. <input type="checkbox"/> 5. <input type="checkbox"/> 6. <input type="checkbox"/> 7. <input type="checkbox"/> 8. <input type="checkbox"/> 9. <input type="checkbox"/> 10. | | | ADDRESS: Defendant's main office is located at Akin Gump et al. 2029 Century Park East, Suite 2400 |
| CITY: Los Angeles | STATE: CA | ZIP CODE: 90067-3010 | |

Item IV. Declaration of Assignment: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the Stanley Mosk courthouse in the Central District of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles [Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and Local Rule 2.0, subds. (b), (c) and (d)].

Dated: 1/25/2012



 (SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)
 Charles H. Menzies

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

1. Original Complaint or Petition.
2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
4. Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 03/11).
5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
6. A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

01/25/12